TRANSLATION PATENT COOPERATION TREATY POT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		
R 44288	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No.	International filing date (day/month	h/year) Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/AT2004/000366	22.10.2004	23.10.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC	
A61J9/04, A61J11/00)	
Applicant		
BAMED AG		
	reliminary examination report, establish to the applicant according to Article 36.	ned by this International Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of shee	ets, including this cover sheet.
3. This report is also accompanied b	y ANNEXES, comprising:	
a. (sent to the applicant	and to the International Bureau) a total	of sheets, as follows:
		have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or
Instructions).	g recurreations authorized by this Authorized	rity (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative
the disclosure in		uthority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental
Box.		
b (sent to the Internatio	nal Bureau only) a total of (indicate type	e and number of electronic carrier(s))
related thereto, in comp	unter readable form only as indicated in	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see
Section 802 of the Adm		i the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see
4. This report contains indications re	elating to the following items:	
Box No. I Basis of	f the report	
Box No. II Priority		
Box No. III Non-est	ablishment of opinion with regard to no	velty, inventive step and industrial applicability
Box No. IV Lack of	unity of invention	
	ed statement under Article 35(2) with reg s and explanations supporting such states	gard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ment
Box No. VI Certain	documents cited	
Box No. VII Certain	defects in the international application	
Box No. VIII Certain	observations on the international applica	ation
Date of submission of the demand	Date of com	pletion of this report
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized of	officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone N	To.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/AT2004/000366

Box	k No. I	I Basis of the report		
1.		th regard to the language, this report is based on the international licated under this item.	application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise	
		This report is based on translations from the original language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purpos international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)	e into the following language, ses of:	
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or	: 55.3)	
2.	rece		port is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to	
		pages 1-13	as originally filed/furnished	
		pages*	received by this Authority on	
		pages*	received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the claims:		
		nos. <u>1-31</u>	as originally filed/furnished	
		nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19	
		nos.*	received by this Authority on	
		nos.*	received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the drawings:		
		sheets 1/4-4/4	as originally filed/furnished	
		sheets*	received by this Authority on	
		sheets*	received by this Authority on	
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemen	atal Box Relating to Sequence Listing.	
3.	Ш	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendment they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed	ents annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since d, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If ite	tem 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "super	seded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/AT2004/000366

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be applicable have not been examined in respect of:	industrially
the entire international application	
claims Nos. 13–28	
because:	
the said international application, or the said claims Nos.	
relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):	
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):	
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately	supported
by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.	
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 13-28	
the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Ad Instructions in that:	ministrative
the written form has not been furnished	
does not comply with the standard	
the computer readable form has not been furnished	
does not comply with the standard	
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not compute technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.	oly with the
See Supplemental Box for further details.	

International application No.
PCT/AT2004/000366

Box	x No. IV	Lack of unity of	linvention			
1.	1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:					
	re	restricted the claims.				
	pa	paid additional fees.				
	= -	id additional fees				
	Ľ ne	ither restricted th	ne claims nor paid additional fees.			
2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.				
3.	This Authority	y considers that tl	the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:			
	complie	d with.				
	not com	plied with for the	e following reasons:			
	1	This re	eport makes reference to the following documents:			
	_	11115 1	sport makes reference to the forlowing documents.			
		D1:	FR-A-2 640 875 (DUFORT MAURICE) 29 June 1990 (1990-06- 29)			
		D2:	EP-A-1 310 230 (PIGEON CORP) 14 May 2003 (2003-05-14)			
		D3:	US-A-6 142 325 (CHOMIK RICHARD S) 7 November 2000 (2000-			
			11-07)			
		D4:	US 2002/108925 A1 (MIHASHI HIROKAZU) 15 August 2002 (2002-08-15)			
		D5:	DE 202 09 899 U (NOVATEX GMBH) 5 September 2002 (2002-09-05)			
		D6:	DE 7 04 733 U SCHMIDT DIETER 3 September 1987 1987-09-03			
	2	The Int	ternational Searching Authority has determined that this			
		interna	ational application contains multiple (groups of)			
		inventi	ions that are not linked by a single, general inventive			
		idea (PCT Rule 13.1), namely:				
	I:	I: Claims 1-3, 4-12, 29-31				
		Bottle with a base cap having an air intake valve and a				
		diaphragm.				
	II:	Claims	1-3, 13-28			
		Bottle	with teat.			
4.	Consequently	, this report has b	een established in respect of the following parts of the international application:			
	all parts.					
			ns Nos. 1-12,29-31			
	tne parts	s relating to claim	IS IVOS			

International application No.
PCT/AT2004/000366

Box	No. V	Reasoned statement citations and expla		, ,	h regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; statement	
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-12,	29-31	YES
			Claims			_ NO
	Inventive	step (IS)	Claims			YES
			Claims	1-12,	29-31	NO
	Industrial	applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12,	29-31	_ YES
			Claims			_ NO

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - 3 The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1).
 - 3.1 D1, which is regarded as the closest prior art, discloses (cf. page 6, figures 1-3) a baby bottle having a jacket (2) open on both sides, a base cap (16) having an air intake valve (8) being fixed in one base end area of the bottle jacket (2) and a teat (10) being fixed on an opposite teat end area, said teat having a shaft and a nipple (23) connected thereto via a lip contact area, the bottle jacket (2) having a substantially conical shape that widens from the teat end area to the base end area (6).

As can be determined from figure 1 of the present application, bottle jacket shapes that have a conical widening that connects to the bottle neck and, adjacent thereto, a cylindrical form, also come under the scope of the expression "in a substantially conical shape". Such a shape is, however, indeed

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

shown in D1.

Therefore, the subject matter of claim 1 differs from the prior art according to D1 by the fact that the shaft of the teat has a wall thickness greater than the wall thickness of the lip contact area and of the nipple.

D2 discloses a teat which, as can be determined from figures 5 and 6, has a wall thickness in the shaft area below (211c) the lip contact area that is greater than the wall thickness in the lip contact area itself and of the nipple above (212c) the lip contact area.

Therefore, the feature "different wall thickness" is a standard design measure used to facilitate sucking (see D2, paragraphs 15 and 60, figures 5 and 6). Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3).

If, furthermore, the expression "in a substantially conical shape" is indeed meant to be interpreted as a conical shape, reference should be made to D6, since figure 1 discloses a bottle with this type of conical shape. Moreover, this figure does not show any teat, but it is immediately clear to

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

a person skilled in the art that this bottle also has to have a teat since it is claimed as a baby bottle. Therefore, the subject matter of claim 1 would likewise fail to involve an inventive step in view of the combination of documents D6 and D2.

Both D1 and D6 show the caps claimed in claims 2 and 3, and therefore the combination of these claim features cannot be regarded as involving an inventive step, either.

3.2 The subject matter of claim 29 does not involve an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3).

D3, which is regarded as the closest prior art, discloses (cf. column 5, lines 34-47) a method from which the subject matter of claim 29 differs in that the bottle jacket has a substantially conical shape.

The shape of the bottle jacket depends only on the injection mold. D4 discloses an injection mold having a conical shape that is suitable for producing substantially conical bottle jackets.

Althought D3 does not expressly disclose the method for producing the bottle, a person skilled in the art knows that various known production methods such as injection or blow

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/AT2004/000366

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement molding can be used for such plastic bottles that are open on both sides. The use of known measures cannot be regarded as inventive. 3.3 The known claims 2 to 12 and 30 and 31 contain no features that, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for inventive step. See, for example: D1, page 6, figures 1-3, for claims 2 to 12 D4, paragraph 30, figures 2 and 3, for claim 30 D3, column 5, lines 34-47, for claim 31

PCT/AT2004/000366

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

- 4 The technical features in claim 4 are already disclosed in claim 1 (lines 3 and 4) and claim 2.
- The expression "...substantially conical..." used in claim 1 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader uncertain as to the meaning of the technical feature in question. As a result, the definition of the subject matter of this claim lacks clarity (PCT Article 6). Based on the description (page 3, lines 23-27) and figure 1, this expression is understood to mean a bottle jacket having a large base end area as compared to the teat end area.

 Documents D1, D5 and D6 all discloses this feature.

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

Box IV

The reasons therefor are the following:

According to PCT Rule 6.4, multiple dependent claims shall not serve as a basis for any other multiple dependent claims. Therefore, in the present application, groups I and II were identified based on the technical features of the corresponding claims.

The search resulted in the following prior art relevant to the assessment of unity of invention:

D1: FR-A-2 640 875 (DUFORT MAURICE) 29 June 1990 (1990-06-29)

D2: EP-A-1 310 230 (PIGEON CORP) 14 May 2003 (2003-05-14)

As stated in Box V, the subject matter of claims 1 to 3 does not involve an inventive step.

Consequently, only the following claims, which are dependent on claim 3, remain with their possibly special technical features (PCT Rule 13.2):

Group I:

Claim 4: a base cap with an air intake valve screwed on to the base end area (Problem: how to clean the baby bottle in a simple manner)

PCT/AT2004/000366

Supplemental Box

Group II:

Claim 13: a nipple with different wall thicknesses (2.00 mm - 2.50 mm and 1.20 mm - 1.50 mm) (Problem: how to simulate a natural mother's breast)

The above-mentioned groups and special technical features differ from each other to such an extent that there does not appear to be any technical relationship or technical interrelationship that results in a single general inventive concept. Therefore, pursuant to PCT Rule 13.2, the subject matter of groups I and II lack unity of invention.